

Waseem Akrama1b2@mail.com

03364693663

# ADVANCE FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

Waseem Akram

Available at MathCity.org  
<https://www.mathcity.org>

# **Dedicated to**

**My Dearest Mam**

**Miss. Arsla Afzal**

**&**

**My Parents**

Lectures(2025)

Miss.Arsla Afzal (Lecturer)

Department of Mathematics Govt.Islamia College

Sargodha Road Faislabad

Book;

Introductory functional Analysis with Applications

( Erwin Kreyszig)

Collected and compose by Waseem Akram

**Fundamental Theorem for normed and banach space**

Partially Order Set:

A partially order set is a set  $M$  on which there is a defined partial ordering ,i.e a binary relation written as  $\leq$  and satisfies the following condition

**1. Reflexivity:**  $a \leq a$  for every  $a \in M$ .

**2. Anti-symmetry:** If  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq a$  then  $a = b$ .

**3. Transitive:** If  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq c$  then  $a \leq c$ .

Comparable:

Two elements  $a$  and  $b$  are said to be comparable if  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq a$  or both.

Totally Order Set:

A totally order set is partial order set such that every two elements of the set is comparable.

Maximal elements:

In partially ordered set  $(P, \leq)$  an element  $m \in P$  is called a maximal element if there is no other element  $x \in P$  such that  $m \leq x$  and  $m \neq x$ .

Example#

Set of real number is totally order and has no maximal element.

Zorn lemma:

Let  $M \neq \phi$  be a partially ordered set .Suppose that every chain  $C \subset M$  has an upper bound .Then  $M$  hasat least one maximal element .

Hahn-Banach space Theorem:

Let  $X$  be a real vector space and sublinear functional  $P$  defined on  $X$ .Moreover,Let  $f$  be a linear functional defined on subspace  $Z$  of  $X$  ( $Z \subset X$ ) s.t

$$f(x) \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in Z$$

Then there is a linear extension  $f'$  of  $f$  from  $Z$  to  $X$  s.t

$$f'(x) \leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

**Proof:** Let  $E$  be the set of all linear extension  $g$  of  $f$  i.e

$$E = \{g|f \leq g\} \quad \text{such that } g(x) \leq P(x) \forall x$$

$E \neq \phi$  (at least  $f \in E$ ) Define partial ordering on  $E$ ,  $g \leq h$  ( $h$  is extension of  $g$ ).  
By definition

$$g(x) = h(x) \quad \forall x \in D(g), D(g) \subseteq D(h)$$

**1. Reflexive:**  $(E, \leq)$  is reflexive because  $g \leq g$  (every functional is extension of itself).

**2. Anti-symmetric:**  $(E, \leq)$  is anti-symmetric because if  $g \leq h$  and  $h \leq g$  then  $g = h$ .

**3. Transitive:**  $(E, \leq)$  is transitive because if  $g \leq h$  and  $h \leq i$  then  $g \leq i$ .

Hence,  $(E, \leq)$  is partial ordering. Consider a chain  $C \subset E$  and define  $\hat{g}$  on  $C$ .

$$\hat{g}(x) \leq g(x) \quad g \in C \quad \forall x \in D(g)$$

Clearly,  $D(\hat{g}) = \bigcup_{g \in C} D(g)$ . Further, if  $\hat{g}$  is related with any  $g \in C$  we may write

$$g \leq \hat{g} \text{ i.e } \hat{g}(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \in g \text{ and } D(g) \subset D(\hat{g})$$

Hence,  $\hat{g}$  is upper bound of  $C$ . Since,  $C$  was arbitrary So, every chain of  $E$  has an upper bound. By zorn's lemma  $E$  must have maximal element say  $f'$  and by definition of  $E$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &\leq P(x) \dots \dots \dots (1) \\ \forall x &\in D(f'(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Next we have to show that  $D(f'(x)) = X$ .

Suppose on contrary, let  $D(f') \neq X$ . Let  $y \in X \setminus D(f')$  clearly,  $y_1 \neq 0$  as  $0 \in D(f')$ . Consider a subspace  $Y_1$  spanned by  $Y_1$  and  $D(f')$  i.e  $x \in Y_1$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= y + \alpha y_1 \dots \dots \dots (2) \\ y &\in D(f') \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is scalar} \end{aligned}$$

Define linear functional  $g$  on  $Y_1$

$$g_1(x) = g_1(y + \alpha y_1) = f'(y) + \alpha C \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

where  $c$  is constant if  $\alpha = 0$  then  $g_1(x) = g_1(y) = f'(y)$  or  $g_1(x) = f'(y)$   $x \in D(g_1)$ . Clearly,  $g_1$  is extension of  $f'$ . Also from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &\leq P(x) \dots \dots \dots (4) \\ \forall x &\in D(y) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} y, Z &\in D(f') \\ f'(y) - f'(Z) &= f'(y - Z) \because f \text{ is linear} \\ &\leq P(y - Z) \text{ from (1)} \\ &= P(y + y_1 - y_1 - Z) \\ &\leq P(y + y_1) + P(-y_1 - Z) \text{ By triangular inequality} \end{aligned}$$

OR

$$-P(-y_1 - Z) - f'(Z) \leq P(y + y_1) - f'(y)$$

Taking supremum over left side  $m_o$  and infimum over right side  $m_1$  i.e  $m_o \leq m_1$ . Consider a  $C$  such that  $m_o \leq C \leq m_1$ . we may write above inequality as

$$-P(-y_1 - Z) - f'(Z) \leq C \leq P(y + y_1) - f'(y)$$

or

$$-P(-y_1 - Z) - f'(Z) \leq C \dots \dots (5)$$

$$C \leq P(y + y_1) - f'(y) \dots \dots (6)$$

For  $\alpha < 0$  put  $Z = y$  in (5)

$$-P\left(-y_1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}y\right) - f'\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}y\right) \leq C$$

multiplying with  $-\alpha > 0$

$$\alpha P\left(-y - \frac{1}{\alpha}y\right) + \alpha f'\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}y\right) \leq \alpha C$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha C + f'(y) &\leq -\alpha P\left(-y_1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}y\right) \\ &\leq P(\alpha y_1 + y) \end{aligned}$$

from (2) and (3)

$$g_1(x) \leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in D(g)$$

if  $\alpha = 0$  from (4) we already have

$$g_1(x) \leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in D(g_1)$$

if  $\alpha > 0$  replace  $y = \frac{1}{\alpha}y$  in (6)

$$C \leq P\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}y + y_1\right) - f'\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}y\right)$$

Multiplying with  $\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha C &\leq \alpha P\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}y + y_1\right) - f'\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}y\right) \\ &\leq P(y + \alpha y_1) - f'(y) \end{aligned}$$

$P$  and  $f'$  are linear

$$f'(y) + \alpha C \leq P(y + \alpha y_1)$$

from (2) and (3)

$$g_1(x) \leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in D(g_1)$$

Hence, for any chose of  $\alpha$

$$g_1(x) \leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in D(g_1)$$

$g_1 \in E$  and  $g_1$  being the extension of  $f'$  which contradict the maximality of  $f'$

$$\Rightarrow D(f') \neq X$$

which is wrong

$$\Rightarrow D(f') = X$$

**Generalized Hahn-Banach Theorem:**

Let  $X$  be a complex or real vector space .A functional  $P$  is defined on  $X$  which is subadditive i.e

$$P(x+y) \leq P(x) + P(y) \quad \forall x \in X$$

and satisfies

$$P(\alpha x) = |\alpha| P(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

Furthermore, there isa subspace  $Z$  of  $X$  on which a linear functional  $f$  is defined and it satisfies  $|f(x)| \leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in X$  .Then there is linear extension  $f'$  of  $f$  from  $Z$  to  $X$  s.t

$$|f'(x)| \leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

**Proof:**

(a) **Let**  $X$  is real then we write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\leq P(x) \dots\dots (1) && \forall x \in X \\ -f'(x) &= f'(-x) \leq P(-x) = |-1| P(x) = P(x) && \text{Using (1) and above condition} \\ -f'(x) &\leq P(x) \dots\dots\dots (2) && \forall x \in X \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let  $X$  is complex then  $Z$  is alsdo complex So, that  $f$  is complex valued fuction i.e

$$f(x) = f_1(x) + if_2(x) \quad \forall x \in Z$$

where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are real valued w.r.t  $Z_r$  and  $X_r$  (Real spaces )

$$f_1(x) \leq |f(x)|$$

$\therefore$  Real part of complex number never exceeds absoulte value and

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &\leq |f(x)| \leq P(x) && \forall x \in Z \\ \Rightarrow f_1(x) &\leq P(x) && \forall x \in Z_r \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $Z_r$  is real .So, by Hahn Banach theorem is linear  $f_1$  w.r.t  $X_r$  s.t

$$f'_1(x) \leq P(x) \dots\dots (3) \quad \forall x \in X_r$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} if(x) &= i[f_1(x) + if_2(x)] = f_1(ix) + f_2(ix) \\ if_1(x) - f_2(x) &= f_1(ix) + if_2(ix) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing real parts at both sides

$$-f_2(x) = f_1(ix) - if_1(ix) \quad \forall x \in Z$$

Consider an extension  $f'$  of  $f$  from  $Z$  to  $X$  s.t

$$f'(x) = f'_1(x) - if'_1(ix) \quad \forall x \in X$$

$\therefore f'$  is linear

$$\begin{aligned} f((a+ib)x) &= f'_1((a+ib)x) - if'_1((a+ib)x) \\ &= af'_1(x) + ibf'_1(x) - i[af'_1(x) + ibf'_1(ix)] \end{aligned}$$

Using (4) and  $f$  is linear

$$\begin{aligned} &= (a+ib)f'_1(x) - i(a+ib)f'_1(ix) \\ &= (a+ib)f'_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f$  is linear .

Since,  $f'(x)$  is complex number . we may it write it as

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= |f'(x)| e^{i\theta} \\ \implies |f'(x)| &= f'(x) e^{-i\theta} \\ &= f'(e^{-i\theta}x) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore f$  is linear

$$|f'(x)| = f'(e^{-i\theta}x) = f'_1(e^{-i\theta}x) - if'_1(e^{-i\theta}x)$$

Since, L.H.S is real .So, by comparing the real part .

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(x)| &= f'_1(e^{-i\theta}x) \\ \implies |f'(x)| &\leq P(e^{-i\theta}x) \end{aligned}$$

Using (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \implies |f'(x)| &= |e^{-i\theta}| P(x) \\ &= P(x) \quad \forall x \in X \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|f'(x)| \leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

Hence, proved.

**Hahn Banach Theorem (Normalized Space) :**

Let  $X$  be a normed space .A bounded linear functional  $f$  defined on subspace  $Z$  of  $X$  .Then there is functional  $f'$  on  $X$  .So, that

$$\|f'\|_x = \|f\|_z$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\|f'\|_x &= \sup_{x \in X, \|x\|=1} |f'(x)| \\ \|f\|_z &= \sup_{x \in z, \|z\|=1} |f(x)|\end{aligned}$$

**Proof :**

Since  $f$  is bounded linear functional defined on  $Z$  .By defination norm of  $f$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_z &= \sup_{x \in z, \|x\| \neq 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} \\ \implies \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} &\leq \|f\|_z \quad \forall x \in z \\ \implies f(x) &\leq \|f\|_z \|x\| \quad \forall x \in z\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\|f\|_z \|x\| = P(x)$  So, that we can write

$$|f(x)| \leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in z$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}P(x+y) &= \|f\|_z \|x+y\| \leq \|f\|_z (\|x\| + \|y\|) \\ &= \|f\|_z \|x\| + \|f\|_z \|y\| \\ &= P(x) + P(y)\end{aligned}$$

i.e

$$P(x+y) \leq P(x) + P(y)$$

$\therefore P$  is subadditive and

$$\begin{aligned}P(\alpha x) &= \|f\|_z \|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|f\|_z \|x\| \\ &= |\alpha| P(x)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using hahn banach theorem(*Generalized*) there is an extension  $f'$  of  $f$  s.t

$$\begin{aligned}|f'(x)| &\leq P(x) \quad \forall x \in X \\ \implies |f'(x)| &\leq \|f\|_z \|x\| \\ \implies \frac{|f'(x)|}{\|x\|} &\leq \|f\|_z \quad \forall x \in X\end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over all values of  $X$  with  $\|x\| \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \neq 0} \frac{|f'(x)|}{\|x\|} &\leq \|f\|_z \\ &\Rightarrow \|f'\|_x \leq \|f\|_z \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $f'$  is extension of  $f$  so  $\|f\|$  never exceeds  $\|f'\|$ .

Hence,  $\|f'\|_x = \|f\|_z$

**Self Adjoint Operator:**

Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be bounded linear operator, where  $X$  and  $Y$  are normed spaces. Then the adjoint operator  $T^\times : Y' \rightarrow X'$  of  $T$  is defined as

$$f(x) = (T^\times g)(x) = g(Tx)$$

where  $X'$  and  $Y'$  are dual spaces of  $X$  and  $Y$  are respectively,

**Theorem:**

$T^\times$  is bounded linear and satisfies

$$\|T^\times\| = \|T\|$$

**Proof:**  $T^\times$  is linear

$$\begin{aligned} T^\times(\alpha g_1 + \beta g_2)(x) &= (\alpha g_1 + \beta g_2)(Tx) \\ &= \alpha g_1(Tx) + \beta g_2(Tx) \quad \because T \text{ is linear} \\ &= \alpha (T^\times g_1)(x) + \beta (T^\times g_2)(x) \\ &\Rightarrow T^\times \text{ is linear} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove  $T^\times$  is bounded

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (T^\times g)(x) \\ \Rightarrow f &= T^\times g \dots (1) \\ \|f\| &= \|T^\times g\| \dots (2) \\ f(x) &= g(Tx) \dots (A) \\ |f(x)| &= |g(Tx)| \leq \|g\| \|Tx\| \\ \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} &\leq \|g\| \|T\| \quad \forall x \in X \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all values of  $X$  with  $\|X\| \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \neq 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} &\leq \|g\| \|T\| \\ \|f\| &\leq \|g\| \|T\| \quad \text{by definition of norm} \end{aligned}$$

from (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^\times g\| &\leq \|g\| \|T\| \\ \frac{\|T^\times g\|}{\|g\|} &\leq \|T\| \quad \forall g \in Y' \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum of over all values of  $Y'$  with  $\|g\| \neq 0$

$$\sup_{g \in Y', \|g\| \neq 0} \frac{\|T^\times g\|}{\|g\|} \leq \|T\|$$

$$\|T^\times\| \leq \|T\| \dots \dots (3) \text{ ( by def of norm of } T^\times \text{)}$$

This shows  $\|T^\times\|$  is bounded . As we know ,for a normed space  $Y'$  for any  $x_o \in X$  there is  $g_o \in Y'$  s.t

$$\|g_o\| = 1$$

and  $g_o(Tx_o) = \|Tx_o\|$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx_o\| &= g_o(Tx_o) \\ &= f(x_o) \end{aligned}$$

from (A)

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx_o\| &= |f_o(x_o)| \leq \|f_o\| \|x_o\| \\ \frac{\|Tx_o\|}{\|x_o\|} &\leq \|f_o\| = \|T^\times g_o\| \end{aligned}$$

from (2)

$$\frac{\|Tx_o\|}{\|x_o\|} \leq \|T^\times\| \|g_o\| \quad \because \|g_o\| = 1$$

Taking the supremum over all values  $x_o \in X$  with  $\|x\| \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x_o \in X, \|x_o\|} \frac{\|Tx_o\|}{\|x_o\|} &\leq \|T^\times\| (1) \\ \|T\| &\leq \|T^\times\| \dots \dots (4) \end{aligned}$$

combing (3 )and (4)

$$\|T\| = \|T^\times\|$$

Hence, proved

**Rare OR Nowhere dense :**

A subset  $M$  of metric space  $X$  is said to be rare in  $X$  if its closure  $\overline{M}$  has no interior points.

**Meager Or The first Category:**

A subset  $M$  of metric space  $X$  is said to be meager in  $X$  if  $M$  is the union of countably many sets each of which is rare in  $X$ . A set which is not meager is called non-meager or second category.

**Theorem (Baires Category Theorem) :**

**If a metric space is complete then  $X$  is non-meager in it self i.e**

$$X \neq \bigcup_{i=1}^k M_k$$

where each  $M_k$  rare.

**Proof:**

Suppose on the contrary .Let  $X$  be meager .

$\Rightarrow X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k M_k$  where each  $M_k$  is rare. .Since,  $M_1$  is rare  $\overline{M_1}$  does not contain any open set i.e  $\overline{M_1} \neq X$  (as  $X$  is open ) .

$\Rightarrow \overline{M_1} \neq \phi$  and is open .Let  $P_1 \in \overline{M_1}^c$  and choose  $\epsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2}$  the open ball

$$B_1(P_1, \epsilon_1) \subset \overline{M_1}^c \quad \left( \epsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

By assumption  $M_2$  is rare .So,  $\overline{M_2}$  does not contain any open set

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\subset \overline{M_2} \\ \Rightarrow B_1 \cap \overline{M_2}^c &\neq \phi \end{aligned}$$

for  $P_2 \in (B_1 \cap \overline{M_2}^c)$  . consider an open ball

$$B_2(P_2, \epsilon_2) \subset B\left(P_1, \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_1\right) \subset B_1(P_1, \epsilon_1) \quad \left( \epsilon_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_1 \right) \Rightarrow \left( \epsilon_2 < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right) \Rightarrow \epsilon_2 < 2^{-2}$$

$$B_3(P_3, \epsilon_3) \subset B\left(P_2, \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_2\right) \subset B_2(P_2, \epsilon_2) \quad \epsilon_3 < 2^{-3}$$

By induction

$$B_{k+1} \subset B\left(P_k, \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_k\right) \subset B_k(P_k, \epsilon_k) \quad \epsilon_k < 2^{-k}$$

$(P_k)$  sequence of centers is cauchy sequence and is convergent ( $P_k \rightarrow P$  (say ) when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) as  $X$  is complete space because for  $m, n$  with  $n > m$

$$B_n(P_n, \epsilon_n) \subset B\left(P_m, \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_m\right) \subset B_m(P_m, \epsilon_m) \quad (\epsilon_m < 2^{-m})$$

$$d(P_m, P_n) < \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_m \quad P_k \text{ is cauchy}$$

Now ,

$$d(P_m, P) \leq d(P_m, P_n) + d(P_n, P)$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , ( $d(P_n, P) = 0$ ) and  $d(P_m, P) < \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_m$

$$\Rightarrow d(P_m, P) < \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_m + 0 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_m$$

$$\Rightarrow P \in B_m \subset \overline{M_m}^c$$

$$\Rightarrow P \notin \bigcup_{m=1}^k M_m = X$$

$$\Rightarrow P \notin X$$

which is contradiction .

Hence, our supposition is wrong

$\implies X$  is non-meager.

**Theorem(Uniform Boundedness) :**

Let  $(T_n)$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators.  $T_n : X \longrightarrow Y$  where  $X$  is banach space and  $Y$  is normed space .The sequence  $(\|T_n\|)$  is bounded that is

$$\|T_n x\| \leq C_x \quad \forall x \in X \quad \because C_x \text{ is real number} \quad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Then the sequence of norms  $(\|T_n\|)$  is bounded that is

$$\|T_n\| \leq C_x \quad C \text{ is constant} \quad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

**Proof:** Given that

$$\|T_n x\| \leq C_x \quad \forall x \in X \quad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Let  $A_k \subseteq X$  s.t

$$A_k = \{x \in X, \|T_n x\| \leq k\}$$

Let  $(x_i)$  be a convergent sequence of  $A_k$ .i.e  $(x_i \longrightarrow x)$  .Since  $x_i \in A_k$   $\|T_n x_i\| \leq k$  ( by defination of  $A_k$  ) .Since  $T_n$  is sequence of bounded linear operator

$\implies T_n$  is continous

$$\implies \|T_n x\| \leq k$$

$$\implies x \in A_k$$

Hence,  $A_k$  is closed .or  $A_k = \overline{A_k}$  .as we write

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_k}$$

and since  $X$  is banach (*complete*) space ,so by baire's category theorem at least one  $A_{k_0}$  contains an open ball (say )  $B_{o_0}$  .

$$B_{o_0}(x_0, r) \subset A_{k_0}$$

Let  $x \in X$  and set  $Z$

$$Z = x_0 + \gamma x \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = \frac{r}{2\|x\|}$$

$$\implies Z - x_0 = \gamma x \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\implies \|Z - x_0\| = \gamma \|x\| = \frac{r}{2\|x\|} \|x\| = \frac{r}{2}$$

$$\implies \|z - x_0\| < r$$

$$\implies Z \in B_{o_0}(x_0, r) \subset A_{k_0}$$

$$\implies Z \in A_{k_0}$$

$$\implies \|T_n Z\| \leq k_0 \quad (\text{ by defination of } A_{k_0})$$

$$\text{also } x_0 \in A_{k_0}$$

$$\implies \|T_n x_0\| \leq k_0$$

from(1)

$$x = Z - x_o$$

Applying  $T_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T_n x &= \frac{1}{\gamma} T_n (Z - x_o) \\ \|T_n x\| &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \|T_n (Z - x_o)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} (\|T_n Z\| + \|T_n x_o\|) \\ &\leq \frac{2\|x\|}{r} (k_o + k_o) \\ \frac{\|T_n x\|}{\|x\|} &\leq \frac{4k_o}{r} \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over all  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \neq 0} \frac{\|T_n x\|}{\|x\|} &\leq \frac{4k_o}{r} = \vec{C} \text{ (say)} \\ \|T_n\| &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

Hence, proved.

### Reflexive Spaces:

A space  $X$  is said to be algebraically reflexive if the canonical mapping  $C : X \rightarrow X''$  is surjective. Here,  $X''$  is the second algebraic dual space of  $X$  and the mapping  $C$  is defined by  $x \rightarrow g_x$  where

$$g_x(f) = f(x) \quad x \in X'$$

### Lemma (Norm of $g_x$ ):

For every fixed  $x$  in a normed space  $X$ . The functional  $g_x$  is a bounded linear on  $X'$ . So that the  $g_x \in X''$  and has the norm

$$\|g_x\| = \|x\|$$

### Strong Convergence :

A sequence  $(x_n)$  in a normed space  $X$  is said to be strongly convergent if there is an  $x \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$$

and it is written as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$$

**Weak Convergence:**

A sequence  $(x_n)$  in normed space  $X$  is said to be weakly convergent if there is an  $x \in X$  such that for every  $f \in X'$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$$

and it is written as

$$x_n \longrightarrow x \quad (\text{weakly})$$

**Lemma:**

Let  $(x_n)$  be weakly convergent sequence in a normed space  $X$ , say  $x_n \longrightarrow x$  (weakly) then

- (1) The weak limit  $x$  of  $(x_n)$  is unique.
- (2) Every subsequence of  $(x_n)$  converges weakly to  $x$ .
- (3) The sequence  $(\|x_n\|)$  is bounded.

**Proof:**

- (1) Given that

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &\longrightarrow x \text{ (weakly)} \\ \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

To prove  $\lim$  of  $x_n$  is unique.

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the limit of sequence i.e

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(y)$$

$f(x_n)$  is sequence of real no.s . So, it has unique limit .

$$\begin{aligned} \implies f(x) &= f(y) \\ \implies f(x) - f(y) &= 0 \\ \implies f(x - y) &= 0 \quad \because f \text{ is linear} \\ \implies x - y &= 0 \\ \implies x &= y \end{aligned}$$

- (2) To prove every subsequence of  $x_n$  converges weakly to  $x$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &\longrightarrow x \text{ (weakly)} \\ \implies f(x_n) &\longrightarrow f(x) \end{aligned}$$

$\implies f(x_n)$  is convergent sequence of real numbers. So, every subsequence of  $f(x_n)$  is also convergent

$\implies$  Every subsequence of  $f(x_n)$  converges weakly.

- (3) Let  $x_n \longrightarrow x$  (weakly) .
  - $\implies f(x_n)$  is convergent sequence of real numbers .
  - $\implies f(x_n)$  is bounded

$$\implies |f(x_n)| < C_f \text{ (} C_f \text{ is constant where } f \in X')$$

Now, using canonical mapping

$$\begin{aligned} C & : X \longrightarrow X'' \\ g_n(f) & = f(x_n) \quad \forall f \in X' \\ \implies |g_n(f)| & = |f(x_n)| \leq C_f, f \in X' \\ \implies g_n(f) & \text{ is bounded.} \end{aligned}$$

Also we know that  $X'$  is banach space .So, by uniform boundness theorem

$$\|g_n\| \leq (f \in X')$$

that is  $(\|g_n\|)$  is bounded.Now, Since  $g_n$  is bounded linear operator on  $X'$  with

$$\|g_n\| = \|x_n\| \leq C$$

$\implies \|x_n\|$  is bounded .

**Theorem:**

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in normed space  $X$  Then.

- (1) Strong convergence implies convergence.
- (2) If  $\dim X < \infty$ , then weakly convergence implies strong convergence.

**Proof:**

- (1) Given that  $x_n \longrightarrow x$  that is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_n) - f(x)| & = |f(x_n - x)| \quad (\because f \text{ is linear}) \\ & \leq \|f\| \|x_n - x\| \quad (\because |f(x)| \leq \|f\| \|x\|) \end{aligned}$$

when  $n \longrightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n) - f(x)| & \leq \|f\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n) - f(x)| & \leq 0 \\ \implies x_n & \longrightarrow x \text{ (weakly)} \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Given that  $x_n \longrightarrow x$  (weakly) that is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n) - f(x)| = 0$  and consider  $\dim X = k$  (finite number) and  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$  be the set of basis of  $X$  .Then

$$x_n = \alpha_1^{(n)} e_1 + \alpha_2^{(n)} e_2 + \dots + \alpha_k^{(n)} e_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{(n)} e_i \quad \text{where } (n) \text{ is super subscript}$$

and

$$x = \{\alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2, + \dots + \alpha_k e_k\} = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i e_i$$

consider  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k\}$  set of basis of  $X'$  s.t

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(e_j) &= 1, f_j(e_m) = 0 (j \neq m) \\ f_j(x_n) &= \alpha_j^{(n)}, f_j(x) = \alpha_j \\ &\because \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_j(x_n) - f_j(x)| = 0 \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_j^{(n)} - \alpha_j| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_j^{(n)} e_j - \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_j e_j \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_j^{(n)} - \alpha_j) e_j \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_j^{(n)} - \alpha_j| \|e_i\| \end{aligned}$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_j^{(n)} - \alpha_j| \|e_j\| \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| &= 0 \quad \because \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_j^{(n)} - \alpha_j| = 0 \\ &\implies x_n \rightarrow x \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem:**

$$B(x_o, r) = x_o + rB(0; 1)$$

**Proof:**

Let

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in B(x_o, r) \\ &\implies |x - x_o| < r (r > 0) \\ &\implies \frac{|x - x_o|}{r} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{x - x_o}{r} \\ |Z| &= \frac{|x - x_o|}{r} < 1 (\because r > 0) \\ |Z| < 1 &\implies Z \in B(0; 1) \\ Z &= \frac{x - x_o}{r} \implies x = x_o + rZ \in x_o + rB(0; 1) \end{aligned}$$

$\because x$  was arbitrary

$$\implies B(x_o; r) \subseteq x_o + rB(0; 1) \dots \dots (1)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in x_o + rB(0; 1) \\ \implies x &= x_o + rZ && Z \in B(0; 1) \text{ i.e } |Z| < 1 \\ x - x_o &= rZ \\ |x - x_o| &= r|Z| < r(1) && \because |Z| < 1 \\ |x - x_o| &< r \text{ OR } x \in B(x_o, r) \\ \implies x_o + rB(0; 1) &\subseteq B(x_o; r) \dots \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (1) and (2)

$$x_o + rB(0, 1) = B(x_o; r)$$

OR we can write

$$B(0; 1) = \frac{1}{r} [B(x_o; r) - x_o]$$

**Remarks:**

- (1) A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous iff  $T^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is open mapping.
- (2) Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear operators if  $T^{-1}$  exist,  $T^{-1}$  is linear.
- (3) Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear operator  $T$  is continuous iff  $T$  is bounded .
- (4)  $B(0, 1) = \frac{1}{r} (B(x; r) - x_o)$
- (5) A bounded linear operator from a banach space  $X$  to banach space  $Y$  has property the image  $T(B_o)$  of open unit ball  $B_o(0, 1)$  contains an open ball centered at 0.

**Open Mapping Theorem OR Bounded Inverse Theorem:**

A bounded linear operator  $T$  from a banach space  $X$  to banach space  $Y$  is open mapping if  $T$  is bijective,  $T^{-1}$  is continuous and Thus, bounded .

**Proof:**

Let  $A \subset X$  (open in  $X$  ). For any  $x \in A, y = Tx \in T(A) \subset Y$  and since  $A$  is open for  $x \in A$  there is an open ball contained in  $A$  centered at  $x$ .

- $\implies A - x$  contained open ball centre at 0.
- $\implies \frac{1}{r}(A - x)$  contains  $B(0; 1)$ , where  $r$  is radius of ball. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} T \left( \frac{1}{r}(A - x) \right) &= \frac{1}{r}(T(A) - Tx) \\ &= \frac{1}{r}(T(A) - y) \end{aligned}$$

contains an open ball centered at 0. and so, does  $(T(A) - y)$ .

- $\implies T(A)$  contains open ball centered at  $y$ . Since  $y \in T(A)$  was arbitrary

$\implies T(A)$  is open set .

Hence,  $T$  is open mapping

Further, if  $T$  is bijective so there exist  $T^{-1}$  and is linear and also  $T^{-1}$  is open mapping

$\implies T^{-1}$  is continuous

$\implies T^{-1}$  is bounded.

**Close Linear Operator:**

Let  $X, Y$  be normed space .A linear operator  $T : X \longrightarrow Y$  is said to be close if its graph

$G(T) = \{(x, y) | x \in D(T), y = Tx\}$  is close in  $X \times Y$  where  $X \times Y$  is usual two operations are defined (on  $X \times Y$ ) as

(i)  $(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)$

(ii)  $\alpha(x, y) = (\alpha x, \alpha y)$

and norm on  $X \times Y$  is  $\|(x, y)\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$

**Note:**

A subset  $M$  of complete space  $X$  is itself complete iff  $M$  is close in  $X$  .

**Close Graph Theorem :**

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  are banach spaces  $T : D(T) \longrightarrow Y$  be a closed linear operator ( $D(T) \subset X$ ) if  $D(T)$  is close in  $X$  then  $T$  is bounded.

**Proof:** Given  $X$  and  $Y$  are banach spaces cauchy seqs in both spaces are convergent i.e if  $(x_n) \in X$  is cauchy,  $x_n \longrightarrow x$  OR  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and if  $(y_n) \in Y$  is cauchy  $y_n \longrightarrow y$  OR  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  (where  $\epsilon > 0$ ) .Let  $(Z_n) \in X \times Y$  be a cauchy sequence where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n &= (x_n, y_n); z = (x, y) .Then \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Z_n - Z\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(x_n, y_n) - (x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x, y_n - y\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - y\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Z_n - Z\| < \epsilon \\ &\implies Z_n \longrightarrow Z \end{aligned}$$

OR  $(Z_n)$  is convergent in  $X \times Y$ . Since,  $(Z_n)$  was arbitrary .So,  $X \times Y$  is complete . Now,  $G(T)$  is close subset of  $X \times Y$  (complete) and  $D(T)$  is close in  $X$  (complete) .

Consider bijective mapping

$$P : G(T) \longrightarrow D(T) \text{ s.t } P(x, y) = x$$

Let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in G(T)$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\alpha(x_1, y_1) + \beta(x_2, y_2)) &= P(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2, \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2) \\ &= \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 \\ &= \alpha P(x_1, y_1) + \beta P(x_2, y_2) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow P$  is linear.

Also

$$\|P(x, y)\| = \|x\| \leq \|x\| + \|Tx\| = \|x, Tx\|$$

$P$  is bounded and since  $P$  is bijective .So,  $P^{-1} : D(T) \rightarrow G(T)$  exists by bounded inverse theorem .

$P^{-1}$  is bounded i.e

$$\|P^{-1}(x)\| = \|x, Tx\| \leq b \text{ (say)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\| &\leq \|x\| + \|Tx\| = \|x, Tx\| \leq b \\ \Rightarrow \|Tx\| &\leq b \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow T$  is bounded .

### Banach Fixed Point Theorem:

#### Fixed Point:

A fixed point of mapping  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  of set  $X$  into itself is an  $x \in X$  which is mapped onto itself that is

$$Tx = x$$

the image  $Tx$  coincide with  $x$ .

#### Contraction:

Let  $X = (X, d)$  be a metric space .A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called a contraction on  $X$  if there is a positive real number  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

#### Example#

Let  $X = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | x \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and let the mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $Tx = \frac{x}{2} + x^{-1}$ . Show that  $T$  is contraction and find the smallest  $\alpha$ .

#### Solution:

For contraction  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ )

Given

$$Tx = \frac{x}{2} + x^{-1} \quad \text{OR} \quad Ty = \frac{y}{2} + y^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Tx, Ty) &= |Ty - Tx| \\
&= \left| \left( \frac{y}{2} + y^{-1} \right) - \left( \frac{x}{2} + x^{-1} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{2}(y - x) + \left( \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{2}(y - x) - \frac{y - x}{xy} \right| \\
&= \left| \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{xy} \right) (y - x) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{xy - 2}{2xy} \right| |y - x| \\
&= \left| \frac{xy - 2}{2xy} \right| d(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

For contraction  $0 < \alpha < 1$  so,  $\alpha = \left| \frac{xy-2}{2xy} \right| < 1$  (say)

$$\implies d(Tx, Ty) < \alpha d(x, y)$$

Hence,  $T$  is contraction .

For least value of  $\alpha$  .Let  $\alpha > 0$

$$\implies \frac{xy - 2}{2xy} = 0$$

$$\implies xy > 2$$

**Fixed Point :**

A fixed point of a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  of a set  $X$  into itself is an  $x \in X$  which is mapped onto itself (is kept fixed by  $T$ ) that is

$$Tx = x$$

The image of  $Tx$  is coincide with  $x$ .♡

**Example#**

$f(x) = x^3$  put  $x = 0$  we get  $f(0) = 0$  is a fixed point.

**Contraction:**

Let  $X = (X, d)$  be a metric space .A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called a contraction on  $X$  if there is a positive real number  $\alpha < 1$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \spadesuit$$

**Babach fixed point Theorem(Contraction Theorem)\*:**

**Consider a metric space  $X = (X, d)$  where  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $X$  is complete and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a contraction on  $X$  .Then  $T$  has precisely one fixed point .**

**Proof:** Consider a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  defined as

$$x_0, x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = Tx_1 = T^2x_0, x_3 = Tx_2 = T^3x_0 \cdots x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$$

This is the sequence of images of  $X_0$  under repeated application of  $T$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_m, x_{m+1}) &= d(Tx_{m-1}, Tx_m) \\
&\leq \alpha d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\
&\leq \alpha d(Tx_{m-2}, Tx_{m-1}) \quad \because T \text{ is contraction} \\
&\leq \alpha^2 d(Tx_{m-2}, Tx_{m-1}) \\
&\vdots \\
d(x_m, x_{m-1}) &\leq \alpha^m d(x_0, x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using triangular inequality for  $n > m$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_m, x_n) &\leq d(x_m, x_{m+1}) + d(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + \cdots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
&\leq \alpha^m d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha^{m+1} d(x_0, x_1) + \cdots + \alpha^{n-1} d(x_0, x_1) \\
&= \alpha^m (1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \alpha^3 + \cdots + \alpha^{n-m-1}) d(x_0, x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

On right side of the inequality .we have geometric series

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq \alpha^m \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha} d(x_0, x_1)$$

Since  $\alpha < 1$  and  $d(x_0, x_1)$  is fixed we can make the term on right side as small as we need by taking an sufficiently large .Hence,  $d(x_m, x_n) \rightarrow 0$  or  $(x_n)$  is cauchy

sequence and is convergent as  $X$  is complete. i.e  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, Tx) &\leq d(x, x_n) + d(x_n, Tx) \\ &= d(x_n, x) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x) + \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x) \end{aligned}$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  also  $d(x_{n-1}, x) \rightarrow 0$ . So, that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, Tx) &= 0 \\ \implies x &= Tx \end{aligned}$$

i.e  $x$  is fixed point. Let  $\bar{x}$  be another fixed point

$$\begin{aligned} T\bar{x} &= \bar{x} \\ d(x, \bar{x}) &= d(Tx, T\bar{x}) \leq \alpha d(x, \bar{x}) \\ d(x, \bar{x}) - \alpha d(x, \bar{x}) &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $1 - \alpha \neq 0$  ( $\because \alpha < 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \implies d(x, \bar{x}) &= 0 \\ \implies x &= \bar{x} \end{aligned}$$

OR  $x$  is the only fixed point. ■

### Spectral theory in finite dimensional Normed space :

#### Definations(Eigen values, Eigen vectors, Eigen spaces ,spectrum Resolvent set of Matrix):

An eigen value of square matrix  $A = (\alpha_{jk})$  is a number  $\lambda$  such that  $Ax = \lambda x$  has solution  $x \neq 0$ . This  $x$  is called an eigen vector of  $A$  corresponding to that eigen value  $\lambda$ . The eigenvectors corresponding to that eigen value  $\lambda$  and zero vector form a vector subspace of  $X$  which is called eigenspaces corresponding to that eigen value  $\lambda$ . The  $\sigma(A)$  of all eigen values of  $A$  is called spectrum of  $A$ . Its complement  $\rho(A) = \mathbb{C} - \sigma(A)$  in the complex plane is called the resolvent of  $A$ . ♣

#### Theorem(Eigen values of an operator)<sup>♡♡</sup>:

All matrices representing a given linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  on a finite dimensional Normed space  $X$  relative to various bases for  $X$  have the same eigen values.

**proof:**

Let  $X$  be a  $n$ -dim space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be operator. Consider two basis set for  $X$ .

$$e = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n); \bar{e} = (\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \dots, \bar{e}_n)$$

by definition of basis each  $\bar{e}$  can be represented as linear combination of  $e$  and conversly that is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e} &= eC \quad \text{where } C \text{ is non singular matrix} \\ \implies (\bar{e})^T &= C^T e^T \end{aligned}$$

Since each  $x \in X$  can be represented as

$$x = ex_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i e_i \text{ and } x = \bar{e}x_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \bar{e}_i \text{ where } x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$$

using (1) and above equation  $x_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{e}_i$

$$\begin{aligned} ex_1 &= \bar{e}x_2 = eCx_2 \\ \implies x_1 &= Cx_2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $Tx = y \in X$  we have  $y_1 = Cy_2$ . Now, let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  denote the operators w.r.t  $e$  and  $\bar{e}$  (both different)  $y_1 = T_1x_1$  and  $y_2 = T_2x_2$

$$\begin{aligned} CT_2x_2 &= Cy_2 = y_1 = T_1x_1 = T_1Cx_2 \\ \implies CT_2x &= T_1Cx_2 \\ \implies CT_2 &= T_1C \\ \implies C^{-1}CT_2 &= C^{-1}T_1C \\ \implies T_2 &= C^{-1}T_1C \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(T_2 - \lambda I) &= \det(C^{-1}T_1C - \lambda(C^{-1}IC)) \\ &= \det(C^{-1}(T_1 - \lambda I)C) \\ &= \det C^{-1} \det(T_1 - \lambda I) \det C \\ &= \det(T_1 - \lambda I) \cdot \det C^{-1} \cdot \det C \\ &= \det(T_1 - \lambda I) \quad \because \det C^{-1} \cdot \det(C) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

showing that characteristic equation w.r.t both operators are same hence gives same values of  $\lambda$  (eigen value) ■

### Definations(Regular value,Resolvent set,Spectrum)<sup>♡♣</sup>:

Let  $X \neq \{0\}$  be complex normed space and  $T : D(T) \rightarrow X$  a linear operator with  $D(T) \subset X$ . A regular value  $\lambda$  of  $T$  is complex number such that

- (R<sub>1</sub>)  $R_\lambda(T)$  exists,
- (R<sub>2</sub>)  $R_\lambda(T)$  is bounded,
- (R<sub>3</sub>)  $R_\lambda(T)$  is define on set which is dense in  $X$ .

The resolvent set  $\rho(T)$  of  $T$  is the of all regular value of  $\lambda$  of  $T$ . Its complement  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C} - \rho(T)$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  is called **Spectrum** of  $T$  and a  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  is called a **spectral value of  $T$** . ♣

#### Point Spectrum:

The point spectrum or discrete spectrum  $\sigma_p(T)$  is the set such that  $R_\lambda(T)$  does not exists. A  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$  is called an eigen value of  $T$ . ♣

**Continous Spectrum:**

The continous spectrum  $\sigma_p(T)$  is the set such that  $R_\lambda(T)$  exists and  $(R_3)$  holds but  $(R_2)$  does not hold that is  $R_\lambda(T)$  is unbounded.♣

**Residual Spectrum:**

The residual spectrum  $\sigma_r(T)$  is the set such  $R_\lambda(T)$  exists (and maybe bounded or not ) butr does not satisfies  $(R_3)$ .♣

**Spectral properties of Bounded Linear Operators:**

**Theorem :**

Let  $T \in B(X, X)$  where  $x$  is banach space if  $\|T\| < 1$  Then  $(I - T)^{-1}$  exists and bounded on whole space and

$$(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + T^2 + T^3 + \dots$$

**Proof:**

Consider sequence  $(\|T^j\|)$  (sequence of norm of operators)  $\therefore \|T^j\| \leq \|T\|^j$ . The series  $\sum \|T\|^j$  is absolutely convergent because  $\|T\| < 1$ .

$\implies \|T\|^j$  is convergent as  $B(X, X)$  is complete ( $\because X$  is complete)

$\implies \|T\|$  is bounded .Now, we have to prove  $(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + T^2 + T^3 + \dots$  for this Suppose

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= I + T + T^2 + T^3 + \dots + T^n \\
(I - T) S &= S (I - T) \\
(I - T) (I + T + T^2 + T^3 + \dots + T^n) &= (I + T + T^2 + T^3 + \dots + T^n) (I - T) \\
&= I - T + T - T^2 + T^3 - T^4 + \dots + T^n - T^{n+1} \\
&= I - T^{n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

When  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $T^{n+1} \rightarrow 0 \because \|T\| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - T) S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S (I - T) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I - T^{n+1} \text{ OR} \\
(I - T) (I + T + T^2 + T^3 + \dots) &= I - 0 = I \\
&\implies I + T + T^2 + T^3 + \dots = (I - T)^{-1} . \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem:**

The resolvent  $\rho(T)$  of a bounded linear operator  $T$  on a complex banach space  $X$  is open .Hence the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is closed.

**Proof:**

If  $\rho(T) = \Phi$  then it is open .Let  $\rho(T) \neq \phi$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T)$  and

any  $\lambda \in (1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
T - \lambda I &= T - \lambda_0 I + \lambda_0 I - \lambda I \\
&= (T - \lambda_0 I) - (\lambda - \lambda_0) I \\
&= (T - \lambda_0 I) \left[ I - (\lambda - \lambda_0) (T - \lambda_0 I)^{-1} \right] \\
T_\lambda &= T_{\lambda_0} [I - (\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0}] \quad OR \\
T_\lambda &= T_{\lambda_0} V \quad \text{where } V = I - (\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T)$  and  $T$  is bounded .Hence,  $R_{\lambda_0}$  exists and is defined on  $B(X, X)$   
i.e  $R_{\lambda_0} = T_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \in B(X, X)$   
 $\implies V^{-1}$  exists and

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{-1} &= \sum_{j=1}^n [I - (\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0}]^j \\
&= \sum (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j R_{\lambda_0}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $\|\lambda - \lambda_0\| < 1$

$$\implies |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R_{\lambda_0}\|} \dots (2)$$

Since  $T_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$  is exists and bounded also  $V^{-1}$  exists .

$$\begin{aligned}
\implies T_\lambda^{-1} &= (T_{\lambda_0} V)^{-1} \\
&= V^{-1} T_{\lambda_0}^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

exists and is bounded i.e

$$T_\lambda^{-1} \in B(X, X) \quad (\text{as } T_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \in B(X, X))$$

is regular value of  $T$ .i.e  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T)$  .Moreover (2) implies  $\lambda_0$  belongs to neighbourhood of  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$   $\because \lambda_0 \in \rho(T)$  was arbitrary .

$\implies$ Each element of  $\rho(T)$  has its own neighbourhood OR  $\rho(T)$  is open set  
 $\sigma(T)$  being complement of  $\rho(T)$  is then closed.■

**Resolvent Theorem(Resolvent):**

For  $X$  and  $T$  every  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T)$  the resolvent  $R_\lambda(T)$  has the representation

$$R_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j R_{\lambda_0}^{j+1}$$

**Proof:**

we know that

Since  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T)$  and  $T$  is bounded

$$\implies R_{\lambda_0} = T_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$$

Put value of  $T_{\lambda_0}^{-1} = R_{\lambda_0}$

$$\implies R_\lambda = V^{-1}R_{\lambda_0}$$

$V$  has an inverse

$$\begin{aligned} V^{-1} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0}]^j \\ V^{-1} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j R_{\lambda_0}^j \end{aligned}$$

(2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j R_{\lambda_0}^j R_{\lambda_0}^j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j R_{\lambda_0}^{j+1} \end{aligned}$$

Now, for

$$\|(\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0}\| < 1$$

Since the series being absolutely convergent for every  $\lambda$  in the open disk

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R_{\lambda_0}\|}$$

In the complex plane .This disk is a subset of  $\rho(T)$ . ■

**Theorem(Spectrum):**

The spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of bounded linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  on a complex banach space  $X$  is compact and lies in the disk given by  $|\lambda| \leq \|T\|$  .Hence,the resolvent set  $\rho(T)$  of  $T$  is not empty.

**,Proof:**

Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\kappa = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ .Since resolvent can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda &= (T - \lambda I)^{-1} \\ &= \left[ \lambda \left( T \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} - I \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \left[ -\lambda \left( I - \frac{1}{\lambda} T \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} (I - \kappa T)^j \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\kappa T)^j \end{aligned}$$

where the series converges for all  $\lambda$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} T \right\| = \frac{\|T\|}{|\lambda|} < 1 \quad \text{i.e. } |\lambda| > \|T\|$$

$$\implies \lambda \in \rho(T)$$

Hence, the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  lies in the disk  $|\lambda| < \|T\|$ .

$\implies \sigma(T)$  is bounded. Furthermore,  $\sigma(T)$  is closed by spectrum closed theorem. Hence,  $\sigma(T)$  is compact. ■

**Theorem:** Let  $T \in B(X, X)$ , where  $X$  is a Banach space and  $\lambda, \mu \in \rho(T)$ . Then show that

- (a)  $R_\mu - R_\lambda = (\mu - \lambda) R_\mu R_\lambda$
- (b)  $R_\lambda$  commutes with any  $S \in B(X, X)$  which commutes with  $T$
- (c)  $R_\mu R_\lambda = R_\lambda R_\mu$

**Proof:**

(a) The range of  $T_\lambda$  is all of  $X$ . Hence,  $I = T_\lambda R_\lambda$  where  $I$  is identity operator on  $X$ . Also  $I = R_\mu T_\mu$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} R_\mu - R_\lambda &= R_\mu (T_\lambda R_\lambda) - (R_\mu T_\mu) R_\mu \\ &= R_\mu (T_\lambda - T_\mu) R_\lambda \\ &= R_\mu [(T - \lambda I) - (T - \mu I)] R_\lambda \\ &= (\mu - \lambda) R_\mu R_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

(b) By assumption  $ST = TS$  hence,  $ST_\lambda = T_\lambda S$  now using  $I = T_\lambda R_\lambda = R_\lambda T_\lambda$ . we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda S &= R_\lambda S T_\lambda R_\lambda \\ &= R_\lambda T_\lambda S R_\lambda \\ R_\lambda S &= S R_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

(c) we know that  $R_\mu$  (commutes with  $T$  by (b)). Hence,  $R_\lambda$  commutes with  $R_\mu$  by (b).

**Question #1**

If a linear operator  $T$  defined on an infinite dimensional normed space then it can have spectral values which are not eigen values proved/disprove.

**Solution:**

**Operator with a spectral value which is not an eigen value.** on the Hilbert sequence space  $X = l^2$ . we define linear operator

$$T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2 \text{ by } (x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, \dots) \rightarrow (0, x'_1, x'_2, \dots) \quad (1)$$

where  $x = x'_i \in l^2$ . The operator  $T$  is called right shift operator.  $T$  is bounded

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= 1 \\ \|Tx\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x'_i|^2 = \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$R_o(T) = T^{-1} : Tx \rightarrow x$  exists left shift operator  $(x'_1, x'_2, \dots) \rightarrow (x'_1, x'_2, \dots)$ . But  $R_o(T)$  does not satisfy (1) because (1) show that  $T(x)$  is not dense in  $x.T(x)$  is subspace of  $Y$  consisting of all  $Y = Y'_i$  with  $Y'_i = 0$ . By definition  $\lambda = 0$  is spectral value of  $T$ . Furthermore,  $\lambda = 0$  is not eigen value. from (1)

$$Tx = 0 \quad x = 0$$

Hence, zero vector is not an eigen vector.

**Application of banach fixed point theorem:**

**Question:1**

**Solve the differential equation by fixed point method**

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (x + t)t \quad x(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2$$

**Solution:**

Given that  $x \in [0, 2]$   $\frac{dx}{dt} = (x + t)t$  If  $x(0) = 0$   $0 \leq t \leq 2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(t) &= \beta + \int_{-\infty}^t f(x(0), u) du \\ \implies f_x(t) &= 0 + \int_{-\infty}^t (x + u) u du \\ &= 0 + \int_0^t (xu + u^2) du \end{aligned}$$

$$f_x(t) = \int_0^t x(u) u du + u^2 du$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_x(t) - f_y(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t (x(u) u du) + u^2 du - \int_0^t y(u) u du - u^2 du \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^t ((x(u) u - y(u) u) du) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq |x(u) - y(u)| \int_0^t u du$$

$$\max_{t \in [0, 2]} |f_x(t) - f_y(t)| \leq \max_{\mu \in (0, 2)} |x(u) - y(u)| \int_0^t u du$$

$$d(f_x, f_y) \leq d(x, y) \left[ \frac{u^2}{2} \right]_0^t$$

$$d(f_x, f_y) \leq \frac{t^2}{2} d(x, y)$$

$\implies d = \frac{4}{2} = 2 > 1$  So, by banach fixed point theorem  $x_o$  is contradiction.

So, exists as unique fixed point  $x(t) = fx^*(t)$  ■